

Suggested Motion: I move to approve
the proposed revisions to Policies
D08, D17.0 and D18.0

Review of the Charge

“Regarding Final Course Grade Dispute Policy, make appropriate changes to policies related to D17.0 to address issues with references to the Academic Appeals Sub-committee. Make necessary changes in order to revise inconsistencies in Policy D08.0 and D18.0”

AS/SA Committee membership (listed alphabetically)

- Farid Barquet (SG President)
- Rebecca DeRoo (CLA)
- Raluca Felea (COS)
- Wendy Gelbrand (Student Affairs Delegate)
- Hany Ghoneim (KGCoe)
- Lucas Granan (SG representative)
- Neil Hair (Provost Appointee)
- Brian Larson (CIAS)
- Stephen Luxmore (SCB)
- Corinne Mendieta (SG representative)
- Bobby Moakley (SG representative) (co-chair)
- Elizabeth Ruder (CHST) (co-chair)
- Jennifer Swartzenberg (NTID)
- Gretchen Wainwright (CAST)
- Linwei Wang (GCCIS)
- Brian Barry (at large)
- Irene Evans (COS)
- Jason Listman (NTID)

Revisions to D08.0 Student Academic Integrity Policy

- The existing policy refers readers to the incorrect location for information on the Academic Appeals Sub-Committee

Academic Integrity Appeals states: "The instructor or the student may appeal the findings of the Academic Integrity Committee to the Academic Appeals Sub-Committee of the University Appeals Board (see Policy D18.0, ~~section VI~~ section IX, item G).

Revisions to D17.0 FINAL COURSE GRADE DISPUTES

- Since D08.0 is the Academic Integrity Policy, the proposed revision corrects what was determined to be an error.

Part III, section B: "**Final Course Grade Dispute Committee**

Membership: The Final Course Grade Dispute Committee shall consist of three instructors (senior or principal lecturer, tenured, or tenure-track) and may be part of the same pool of instructors who sit on the Academic Conduct Integrity Committee (See [Policy D8.0-Academic Integrity Policy](#))."

Revisions to D18.0 STUDENT CONDUCT PROCESS

- The current policy is inconsistent in specifying timelines in terms of *business* days. **Blue text** shows the proposed revisions

Cases originating from the Center for Residence Life. For cases heard by the Center for Residence Life, the follow is applicable:

Within three (3) **business** days, the Student intending to appeal must submit the basis of the appeal in writing to the Student Conduct Appeals Coordinator.

G. Procedure for UAB Appeals

Within three (3) **business** days of receiving the determination of a conduct hearing, the Student must submit the basis for appeal in writing to the Student Conduct Appeals Coordinator.

Suggested Motion: I move to approve
the proposed revisions to Policies
D08, D17.0 and D18.0