# LONG RANGE PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE REPORT

10 May 2018

#### Committee Members

- Andres Kwasinski
- Catherine Zuromskis
- David S. Barth-Hart
- Enid Cardinal
- James Heliotis
- John Oliphant
- Joyce Hertzson

- Lisa Greenwood
- Michael Savka
- Michael Skyer
- Roger Chen
- Tracy Worrell
- dt ogilvie, Chair

#### Charge #1: Strategic Plan

 Review status of Strategic Plan as it pertains to faculty.

 Status: On hold due to development of new strategic plan.

#### R·I·T notion

# Charge #2: Paper Consumption Subcommittee Members

- David S. Barth-Hart
- Enid Cardinal
- Lisa Greenwood
- Michael Savka

 Recommend what an appropriate level of paper consumption should be at RIT and compare our actual paper consumption with this level.

- Challenges
  - Data tracking of print management is inconsistent across RIT colleges.
  - Copy paper purchasing data is available through Staples contract at the university level but not by college.
  - Print practices/polices at RIT vary by college.
  - Limited information available about other schools' paper consumption

- Approach
  - Analyzed total expenditures on copy paper for FY17.
  - Compared expenditures to those of schools in the STARS database (STARS: Sustainability Tracking Assessment and Rating System).
  - Normalized the data by cost of paper expenditures per person (faculty, staff, and students).
  - Determined the average of the 237 schools in the sample.

		PCR: Post-Consumer Recycled Content															
Institution	Detina	Total expenditures on office	\$ spent on 10-					employees (staff +	enrolled	faculty, staff and	\$ spent on paper/	10-29%	30-49%	50-69%	70-89%	90-100%	spend on
Institution Rochester Institute of	Rating	paper	29% PCR	49% PCR	69% PCR	89% PCR	100% PCR	faculty)	for credit	students	саріта	PCR	PCR	PCR	PCR	PCR	0% PCR
Technology	Silver	<b>\$102,179.31</b>	\$0.00	\$2,852.85	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	4,047	7 16,702	20,749	\$4.92	0.00	2.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	97.21
Colorado State University	Platinum	\$299.354.82		\$222.351.71	\$2,862.40	\$42,191.90	\$10,619.54			,_	•						
Stanford University	Platinum	\$506,577.00		\$211,833.81		\$0.00	\$15,504.72					0.20					
University of New Hampshire	Platinum	\$118,000.00	\$25,750.08	\$47,915.87		\$0.00	\$44,247.48					21.82		0.00			
American University	Gold	\$168,020.44	\$0.00	\$29,622.42		\$0.00	\$55,065.70					0.00					
Appalachian State University	Gold	\$69,257.00		\$69,257.00		\$0.00	\$0.00					0.00					
Arizona State University	Gold	\$662,656.00		\$23,947.13								0.00					
Babson College	Gold	\$55,224.66		\$2,995.95		\$11,771.78						0.00					
Ball State University	Gold	\$203,295.96		\$26,113.31	\$2,210.62	\$0.00	\$3,479.11	3,417	7 16,415			0.15	12.84				
Bard College	Gold	\$54,644.55	\$803.73	\$42,194.82	\$27.60	\$6,021.37	\$2,124.98	1,110	2,192	3,302	\$16.55	1.47	77.22	0.05	11.02	3.89	
Bates College	Gold	\$32,750.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$32,750.00					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	
Bentley University	Gold	\$40,953.00	\$0.00	\$39,437.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	1,117	7 5,552			0.00	96.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.70
Berea College	Gold	\$88,813.00	\$11,463.00	\$27,850.00	\$12,850.00	\$0.00	\$36,650.00	574	1 1,662	2,236	\$39.72	12.91	31.36	14.47	0.00	41.27	0.00
California State University, Channel	l Gold	\$34,947.68	\$0.00	\$21,603.23	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	442	2 5,144	5,586	\$6.26	0.00	61.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	38.18
California State University, Northrid	g Gold	\$107,636.00	\$0.00	\$46,272.00	\$10,340.00	\$0.00	\$1,666.00	4,219	9 41,548	45,767	\$2.35	0.00	42.99	9.61	0.00	1.55	45.86
California State University, Sacrame	e Gold	\$176,608.00	\$0.00	\$150,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	2,874	28,639	31,513	\$5.60	0.00	84.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.07
Chatham University	Gold	\$18,008.37	\$8,255.52	\$8,888.57	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	551	2,134	2,685	\$6.71	45.84	49.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.80
Clarkson University	Gold	\$33,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$33,000.00	807	7 3,737	4,544	\$7.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
Colby College	Gold	\$38,538.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$38,538.00	721	1,820	2,541	\$15.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
Colgate University	Gold	\$54,616.00	\$0.00	\$25,794.00	\$5,436.00	\$0.00	\$23,376.00	2,869	2,869	5,738	\$9.52	0.00	47.23	9.95	0.00	42.80	0.02
Colorado College	Gold	\$37,737.04						887	7 2,096	2,983	\$12.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00
Columbia University	Gold	\$884,048.40	\$0.00	\$52,683.37	\$0.00	\$526,052.85	\$15,421.92	23,201	23,870	47,071	\$18.78	0.00	5.96	0.00	59.50	1.74	32.79
Concordia University	Gold	\$108,833.32	\$775.93	\$88,325.18	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$9,351.89	7,655	43,903	51,558	\$2.11	0.71	81.16	0.00	0.00	8.59	9.54
Cornell University	Gold	\$284,203.39	\$17.98	\$108,219.00	\$3,327.76	\$87,279.13	\$38,483.80	11,597	7 21,671	33,268	\$8.54	0.01	38.08	1.17	30.71	13.54	16.49
Dalhousie University	Gold	\$201,418.12	\$0.00	\$86,637.97	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$114,780.15	8,162	2 18,840	27,002	\$7.46	0.00	43.01	0.00	0.00	56.99	0.00
Denison University	Gold	\$35,967.00	\$0.00	\$7,875.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$11,124.00	754	2,265	3,019	\$11.91	0.00	21.90	0.00	0.00	30.93	47.18
Dickinson College	Gold	\$97,869.00	\$8,629.00	\$28,488.00	\$0.00	\$51,673.00	\$9,119.00	874	2,325	3,199	\$30.59	8.82	29.11	0.00	52.80	9.32	-0.04
Florida Gulf Coast University	Gold	\$22,482.49	\$0.00	\$8,875.86	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,095.56	1,415	13,762	15,177	\$1.48	0.00	39.48	0.00	0.00	4.87	55.65
Furman University	Gold	\$68,932.39		\$43,621.18		\$0.00	\$5,623.50				\$14.38	0.04	63.28	2.80	0.00	8.16	
George Mason University	Gold	\$127,703.40	\$0.00	\$127,703.40	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	6,379	31,226	37,605	\$3.40	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
George Washington University	Gold	\$270,308.20	\$0.00	\$108,053.10	\$2,978.54	\$0.00	\$35,389.60	6,780			\$8.49	0.00	39.97	1.10	0.00	13.09	45.83
Grand Valley State University	Gold	\$215,904.98	\$0.00	\$83,131.52		\$0.00	\$2,596.17	3,306			\$7.88	0.00	38.50	0.00	0.00	1.20	60.29

- Findings
  - RIT spent less on paper per capita (\$4.92) than the average expenditures of schools in the STARS database (\$9.94).

 Less than 3% of RIT's paper purchases contain recycled content, compared to more than 70% on average at other institutions.

- Recommendation 1
  - The committee asks Academic Senate to pass a resolution, recommending that the University adopt a minimum standard of 30% post-consumer waste recycled content for all copy paper the University purchases, better reflect RIT's commitment to sustainability, and its leadership in print and imaging sciences.

- Recommendation 2
  - If a deeper analysis of paper consumption is of interest, all RIT colleges would need to utilize an accounting and print management tool that can provide comparable data on a monthly basis.

# Charge #3: Meat Consumption Subcommittee Members

- Enid Cardinal
- Lisa Greenwood
- Roger Chen

### Charge #3: Meat Consumption

 Investigate the impact on global sustainability (e.g. carbon footprint) of total meat consumption at RIT and make recommendations in line with RIT's leadership in sustainability.

### Charge #3: Meat Consumption

- Status: Incomplete
- Long lead time to acquire complete food purchasing data.
- Once acquired the data had to be converted from \$ to lbs.
- Emissions factors have been identified for majority of food products.

### Charge #3: Meat Consumption

Recommendation:

#### Carry over to next year

- A student will complete the emissions calculations next fall.
- Once complete recommendations will be made.

# Charge #4: Faculty Governance Subcommittee Members

- Andres Kwasinski
- David S. Barth-Hart
- James Heliotis
- Tracy Worrell

#### Charge #4: Faculty Governance

- Compare RIT against our benchmark schools regarding the extent of its Faculty governance.
- Make recommendations for evolving shared governance at RIT.

Ref: <a href="https://www.rit.edu/fa/humanresources/content/benchmark-schools">https://www.rit.edu/fa/humanresources/content/benchmark-schools</a>

#### Charge #4: Faculty Governance

- Faculty governance is prevalent in all schools studied, at a level similar to that at RIT.
- Elected by faculty at large or proportionally by unit.
- Large range of sizes (Stevens 7 members, Cornell ~100 members.)
- Administrators are often non-voting members.
- Other occasional members: students and staff representatives.

#### Charge #4: Faculty Governance

#### Other Models

- All-faculty voting body
- Collections of smaller separate committees

#### Distributed Institution: Pace

- Each campus has a faculty council.
- There is a joint faculty council as well.

#### Charge #4: Faculty Governance

- Observations:
  - Information will be complemented by upcoming Faculty Governance Summit (12/11/18).
  - Sparse data on the health or effectiveness of any governing body.
  - Assessment for future evolution requires that the Senate define criteria and identify issues/limitations with the current model.
- > The subcommittee recommends continuing its work after the information above has been gathered.

# Charge #5: Gender Inclusivity Subcommittee Members

- Catherine Zuromskis
- John Oliphant
- Joyce Hertzson
- Michael Skyer

 Determine the state of gender inclusivity across the campus.

- Current state:
  - RIT's male/female percentages have remained remarkably stagnant for decades, despite the existence of projects like ADVANCERIT.

- Approach
  - Met with representatives from various organizations, including Title IX, the Q center, & ADVANCE.
  - Meetings dealt with question of how to address charge
  - Agreed specific areas of inquiry suggested in the charge—bathrooms, nursing facilities, & sexual harassment—do not really address what is a larger and more complex problem.

- Pockets of data available
  - COACHE survey out of Harvard—though this data applies only to faculty
  - NSF indicators required for ADVANCERIT
  - Title IX is preparing a report based on a student survey on sexual harassment and sexual assault that should be available in the fall
  - Facilities management should have information on bathrooms & nursing stations

- Recommendation
  - Need a comprehensive climate study that incorporates the aims & initiatives of the campus organizations that deal with gender inclusivity & related issues (conditions for LGBTQ faculty, staff, and students, women of color, hearing status, etc.).

- Challenges
  - Conducting the study is far beyond the purview of our subcommittee or the LRPEC.
  - Would likely be a year or two long project requiring financial support.
  - Would require a commitment from the university to act on the data once acquired.