# <u>Professional Advisors Group</u> <u>Minutes – Thursday, March 10, 2005</u> Dr. Lynn Wild, Facilitator

Lynn greeted everyone and introduced Joyce Johnson, who is filing in for Susan DeWoody and asked everyone to do a self introduction.

# <u>Learning Communities – Kit Mayberry, Associate Provost for Academic Programs</u>

Kit thanked everyone for attending.

## Agenda of discussion:

- Learning Communities
- Suspension Letter
- Students awareness of curriculum changes/how to get them aware of changes

## Learning Communities

- Overview and tabulation of winter quarter plans for 2006
- Pilot began with 14 communities totaling just under 300 students.
- All communities are program based
- All first year courses
- Fair amount of interaction
- Ranges from 2-4 credit courses
- Create control groups for each learning community
- Incoming academic profile AP credits could not be accepted in community
- Developed control groups as comparable as possible.
- Main driver is RETENTION of students

#### Reviewed graph presentation:

TOTAL FALL QUARTER ATTRITION COMPARISON (by # of students) LC's vs. Control of level of retention by the percentage of total students that did not return for winter semester – 73% as opposed to 2.3% (1/3 in LC's vs. Control)

- Too early to draw conclusion
- First quarter not as productive
- Used current/existing RIT students (More accurate count in the Fall)

## FALL QUARTER ATTRITION (by LC)

- Control Group equaled as many that fit criteria
- Learning Community higher in the College of Business
- CIAS and Computer Science have most students

# FALL QUARTER TOTAL GPA COMPARISON

- LC statistically just shy of 3.0 vs. Control 2.8 in total grade distribution
- Bottom line is retention and the quality of learning

#### TOTAL GRADE DISTRIBUTION COMPARISON

GPA range falls out where you would want it to be

#### GPA COMPARISON BY LC

- CIAS was amazing with GPA 3.33
- COB split as retention is split
- Software Engineering also did well
- Information Technology Dean has requested a Learning Community

# Reviewed and briefly discussed 2005-06 Learning Communities

- 700 Students
- 28 LC's
- 37% of 1<sup>st</sup> year class

#### **Q&A**:

- Would you do the program again: Overall consensus was YES!
- How were students chosen to participate: Letter was sent to first year students; departments created a pool of students – they were asked to RANDOMLY select 25 students and send Kit information. Must plan ahead for next year's program
- What is the impact when student changes major: Some students did change major but didn't want to leave community. Stayed connected by taking courses
- Will Faculty/Staff be the same for next year: Most of the faculty will return, would like seasoned staff.
- What is Faculty feedback: They find that students come to class due to a lot of peer pressure; there are still some rough spots that need to be smoothed out. Faculty members have done evaluations.
- Students with learning disabilities: they should be approached on individual basis
- Can the program be expanded to 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> & 4<sup>th</sup> yr. students: this is certainly something to pursue.
- Suspension Letters Do students understand the meaning of "suspension"?
- Do Advisors need training for curriculum changes: Overall consensus was "YES".

**Action:** Asked Lynn to have a special meeting between now and registration to review curricular changes.

- Questions regarding Liberal Arts requirements: What do students know?
- They don't know enough
- Catalog won't help much
- Report Magazine could run a story to help get info out
- Draw up guidelines and hold a meeting the end of March
- Students ask about Double Majors: Double Majors don't exist. Dual degrees exist. Tell students that the institute is working Double Majors as a viable option in the 2005 Spring Quarter.

# <u>Tutoring Web Site – Julie Cameron</u>

A website developed by Julie and two graduate students to find information quickly on tutoring support for students. Advisors/Faculty were asked to help keep information on site accurate by reporting any staff changes to Julie.

Log onto site: <a href="https://www.rit.edu/tutoring">www.rit.edu/tutoring</a>

Julie toured the site using a lap top and projector. Program is user friendly with specific instructions for NTID students is listed on pages.

It was suggested that the Tutor Training Schedule calendar be changed from a Microsoft Publisher file to a PDF file.

Lynn commented that Julie did a great job on the site.

Eileen Fishman was asked to defer her presentation to the next meeting.

Lynn to set up a one hour "Special" meeting to discuss curriculum changes prior to March 31<sup>st</sup>. Asked if possible to have two different sessions to allow all committee members an opportunity to fit the meeting into their schedule. Tentative dates to look at are March 31<sup>st</sup> and April 14<sup>th</sup>.

Lynn asked John Smithgall to check to see if a room to hold the meeting would be available in his area.

### **Next Meeting − April 14, 2005**

- o Eileen Fishman Advising Manual
- Catherine Winnie Honors Program
- Carolyn Buntich and Carolyn DeHority Career Issues